

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/713,166	11/15/2000	*Marek Gorzynski	ANO6132	3402
7:	590 11/06/2002			
Lainie E Parke			EXAMI	NER
Akzo Nobel Inc 7 Livingstone A			FORTUNA, JOSE A	
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522				
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	n
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/713,166

Applicant(s)

Gorzynski et al.

Examiner

José A. Fortuna

Art Unit 1731



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	TO EVENTE O MONTHUO EDOM
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- if the	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of to f patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 8, 20	002
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>19-29</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 19-29	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
		ocuments have been received in this National Stage
*S	application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisiona	application has been received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ent(s)	
1) X No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) No	tice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/713,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Column.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

Application/Control Number: 09/713,166 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 19-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Killat et al., US Patent No. 4,416,729.

Killat et al. teach a wet strength additive which is the a cationic nitrogen containing additive, a polyamidoamine, branched with a hydrophobic agent, a Quaternary ammonium compound, see abstract. Killat et al. also teach that the polyamidoamine is crosslinked with an epoxy agent and used as a wet strength agent in a papermaking pulp, see abstract. Killat et al. teach the use if a ethylentically unsaturated carboxylic compound to produce the side chain and then the side chain is quaternized to obtain the final product, see column 4, line 18 through column 7, line 13. They also show the addition amount within the claimed range, see column 7, lines 26-60. It seems that Killat et al. teach all the limitations of the claims or at least the minor modification to obtain the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Note that one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success if the wet strength agent disclosed by the reference, Killat et al., is used in any papermaking process in which a wet strength is needed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure in the art of "Wet Strength Agents."

Art Unit: 1731

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José Fortuna, whose telephone number is (703)305-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman, can be reached on (703)308-3837. The fax number for this group is (703)305-7115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

When filing a FAX in group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

José A. Fortuna October 31, 2002

JOSÉ FORTUNA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1731